## Fort St. John Results Based Pilot Project

## **Public Advisory Group Meeting #40**

November 30, 2010 from 5:30 to 9:30

## Fort St. John Quality Inn, Northern Grand Meeting Room

## A) Meeting Attendance:

#### **Participants**

Name	Interest	Phone	Email
Brian Farwell	BCTS	(250) 262-3337	Brian.Farwell@gov.bc.ca
Walter Fister	BCTS	(250) 262-3328	Walter.Fister@gov.bc.ca
Reg Gardner	Canfor	(250) 787-3641	reg.gardner@canfor.com
Mark Van Tassel	BCTS	(250) 784-1209	Mark.VanTassel@gov.bc.ca
Andrew Moore	Cameron River Logging	(250) 262-6551	andrew@taylordunnage.ca
Darrell Regimbald	Canfor	(250) 787-3651	darrell.regimbald@canfor.com
Andrew Tyrrell	Canfor	(250) 787-3665	andrew.tyrrell@canfor.com

#### PAG Interest Representatives and Alternates

Name	Interest	Phone	Email
Fred Klassen	Forest Contractors/Workers	(250) 264-7902	Klassen@intpac.canet
Dave Harris	Range	(250) 827-3503	dhharris@pris.ca
Roy Lube	Non-comercial recreation	(250) 787-9619	rluber@telus.net
Jason Gowda	Oil and Gas Industry	(250) 219-7143	jason.gowda@encana.com
Darren Thiel	Commercial Recreation	(250) 262-9482	dthiel@shaw.ca
Ron Wagner	Labour	(250) 787-0172	rjwagner@telus.net
Stanley Gladysz	Outdoor Recreation	(250) 785-2596	sgladysz@pris.ca
Teena			
Demeulemeester	West Moberly First Nations	(250)788-3676	forestry@westmo.org
Ray Ensz	Trapping	(250) 793-2825	rbensz@shaw.ca
<b>Budd Phillips</b>	Non-commercial Recreation	(250) 785-1283	budd.Phillips@worksafebc.com

#### Advisors

Name	Interest	Phone	Email
Dale Morgan	MNRO	(250) 784-1200	Dale.Morgan@gov.bc

.ca

1

#### Other

Name	Interest	Phone	Email
Gail Wallin	Facilitator	(250) 305-1003	gwallin@wlake.com
Larry McFadden	Recorder-BCTS	(250) 262-3324	Lawrence.McFadden@gov.bc.ca
Ronald Laurentin	Public	(250) 262-3202	Ronlaure@hotmail.com
Jim McKnight	Public	(250) 262-1673	jimkoi@telus.net
Rosalee Garbitt	West Moberly First		Landuseoffice@westmo.org
	Nations		

#### **B)** Meeting Summary

#### Agenda

- 1. Welcome and Introductions
- 2. Review of Meeting Agenda
- 3. Confirm appointments to PAG DR
- 4. Review of Meeting # 39 draft Summary GW
- 5. Review of Outstanding Actions DR
- 6. Update from Participants all

SFMP Approval Status - DR Canfor harvest activity - RG

Government restructuring - MVT

- 7. Annual Report AT, MVT, DR, BF
- 8. Review of Proposed Indicators DR
- 9. Martin Creek PFI Update MVT
- 10. Overview of Process & 2011 Meeting Schedule DR
- 11. PAG Survey Results DR
- 12. Feedback on Meeting

#### 1) Welcome and Introductions

Roundtable introductions from PAG, participants, members, and observers.
 Observers given full participation.

#### 2) Review of Meeting Agenda

• Agenda was accepted.

#### 3) Confirm appointments to PAG - DR

- Jason Gowda confirmed as PAG member representing Oil and Gas
- Rod Kronlachner and Chad Dalke confirmed as Oil and Gas alternates.

#### 4) Review of Meeting # 39 draft Summary

Meeting #39 summary was accepted by PAG; with following correction: Under Meeting Attendance, Oliver Mott was listed as a public member when he actually represents Environment and Conservation interests. This has been changed.

### 5) Review of Outstanding Actions

- Handout #1: Summary of Actions from Meeting #39
- Action Item #1: Correct the attendance records in PAG #38 minutes.
   Response Completed, copy submitted to PAG.
- Action Item #2: Participants to provide a list of acronyms and a definition of seral sage to interested PAG member. Response – completed – list of acronyms is included in SFMP, Participants provided hardcopy of acronyms including seral stage definition to PAG member during the meeting.
- Action Item #3: Participants to confirm if Chad Dalke and Rod Kronlachner, who have moved, are no longer interested to be member of the Public Advisory Group. Response contacted Chad and Rod, both wish to sit on the PAG as Oil and Gas alternates.
- Action Item #4: PAG Member, Colleen Brown will provide the Participants
  verifiable spatial Wind Tenure information. Response Participants met
  and discussed the topic with Colleen, source of spatial data is confirmed.
- Action Item #5: It was suggested that in future the external audit report
  presentation provided to the PAG should indicate Canfor is managing
  Tembec, Cameron River Logging, Dunne-za, and LP forest licences and
  therefore; any findings on these licences are addressed to Canfor. Response –
  Participants will ensure future external audit presentations clarify the
  licensees/licensees reviewed and the managing participant responsible for
  the audit actions.
- Action Item #6: Brian Farwell will send an email to all PAG members asking
  that they indicate which date they would prefer for the next PAG meeting;
  November 30th or December 2nd. The date chosen will be determined by
  majority rules. The PAG members will also be asked to indicate if they would
  prefer a paper of digital copy of the Annual Report. Response Completed

by way of email. Two members requested and were mailed a hardcopy of the annual report.

#### 6) Update from Participants

- Darrell Regimbald reported that the Sustainable Forest Management Plan No.
   2 has been approved by government.
- Reg Gardner gave an update on Canfor's winter harvesting program.
- Mark Van Tassel gave a presentation on the recent government restructuring.
   Highlights:
  - BC Timber Sales still under the Ministry of Forests, Mines and Lands.
  - Fort St. John Pilot Project Regulations under the Ministry of Forests,
     Mines and Lands.
  - Sustainable Forest Management Plan future amendments/approvals under the Ministry of Natural Resource Operations.

#### 7) Annual Report

The report was submitted late and therefore the participants are in a non-conformance situation that will be reported in next year's annual report. This incident was reported to the MNRO, and entered in the participant's incident tracking systems.

- a) **Darrell Regimbald, Canfor** gave a report on the major achievements from 2009 including harvesting and road activities, and reviewed conformance levels for both regulatory and non regulatory indicators and targets.
- b) Brian Farwell, BCTS reviewed the 2009 reforestation highlights. Due to the decrease in harvesting over the last few years, the area planted has also decreased. Reviewed conformance with the Landscape level reforestation strategy and associated indicators. All indicator targets were achieved.
- c) Mark Van Tassel, BCTS reported on the Timber Harvest, Road Access and Patch Size, Seral Stage Distribution & Adjacency landscape level strategies and how the participants performed to those strategies and associated indicators.
  - All indicator targets under the Timber Harvest Strategy have been achieved.

- Reviewed the indicators associated with the Road Access and Patch Size, Seral Stage, & Adjacency landscape strategies. All indicator targets have been achieved.
- d) Andrew Tyrrell, Canfor reviewed the indicators associated with the Riparian Management, Visual Quality, Forest Health Management and Range and Forage Management landscape strategies. All indicator targets have been achieved.
- e) Reg Gardner, Canfor reviewed two indicators not met:
  - Dollars Spent Locally;
  - Value and Total Number of Tendered Contracts.

There was some discussion around these two indicators.

There was comment made by a PAG member that large corporations operating in the community should make donations/investment beyond the corporation's business needs within the community.

- **f) Darrell Regimbald**, Canfor, reviewed the contraventions as reported in the Annual Report. All were reported to the Ministry of Forests.
  - Four logging related incidents;
  - Two silviculture related incidents

Question asked by PAG member: Most of the non-conformances are a "trespassing issue". With the amount of different ribbon used by the various industries, the equipment operators have a high chance of making a mistake and following the wrong ribbon. Can there be something done to avoid this? Participant's Answer: We are aware of this issue and have been using "printed" ribbon for some time. Some of our other divisions are piloting with GPS equipment in logging machinery. This may also help to reduce trespass incidents. Results of the pilot will be reviewed and assessed for implementation in other Canfor locations.

#### \*\*\*BREAK\*\*\*

8) Martin Creek Peak Flow Index Update – Mark Van Tassel, BCTS, reported that a watershed review was not completed on TSL A80049 prior to harvesting in 2007, therefore this is a potential non-compliance. This incident was discovered after the 2009 annual report was completed and submitted to government. The incident was therefore not recorded in this year's annual report. Although the harvesting

actually occurred during the 2007-08 Annual Report time period, and should have been noted in the 2007 Annual report, it will be reported in the 2010-11 Annual Report. This incident was reported to the MNRO compliance and enforcement, and entered in BCTS incident tracking system.

#### 9) Review of Proposed Indicators - Darrell Regimbald

 Reviewed handout outlining proposed four new indicators. There was general agreement in principle with the 4 indicators, however the PAG requested additional detail regarding background and implementation.

#### > Worker training

PAG requested more detail regarding what is considered training for this indicator.

#### Level of Participant Satisfaction with Public Participation Process

There was discussion around what should be in the survey and how it would be measured. The consensus of the group was to have more discussion on what will be included in this survey and how it will be analyzed.

#### **Educational Outreach**

Proposing an amendment to Indicator 61
 Suggestion from PAG: Would like to see younger people on tours.

# > Availability of Information of Issues of Concern to the Public.

Suggestion from PAG: post annual report to local library.

#### 10) PAG Survey Results - Darrell Regimbald

• Reviewed the results of surveys taken at the last meeting.

#### 11) Overview of Process and 2011 Meeting Schedule - Darrell Regimbald

- New registration audit to be completed late summer/early fall.
- New indicators will need to be in place before the audit and therefore will be the focus of the next meeting: proposed for February 10, 2011.
- A follow-up meeting may also be needed in April/May.
- Summer field trip is proposed.
- A summer meeting may be required.

#### 12) Feedback on Meeting

- Interesting, can see progress.
- Meeting was good. The issues were put into perspective.
- Pictures would be helpful for presentations.

#### **Handouts from Meeting #40**

- 1. Summary of Actions from Meeting #39
- 2. Fort St. John Results Based Pilot Project-Public Advisory Group Mailing List
- 3. Fort St. John Pilot Project Public Advisory Group Notice of Membership 2010.
- 4. FSJ Results Based Pilot Project Public Advisory Group Meeting #39 Summary

## **Summary of Actions from Meeting #40**

PAG Meeting #40 Action #1: When describing the number of contraventions, provide context by reporting the number of contraventions in the total population for example: Report the number of brushing contraventions out of the total number of blocks brushed in a given year e.g.. 6 brushing contraventions out of a total of X blocks brushed. Response – future iterations of the Annual Report will provide context regarding the number of contraventions of a specific type. Will provide additional detail regarding the 2008 brushing contraventions in context of total area treated during meeting #41.

PAG Meeting #40 Action #2: Include pictures in next year's Annual Report presentation. Response – future iterations of the Annual Report presentation will include photos where appropriate, as was done with the 2008 Annual Report presentation.

PAG Meeting #40 Action #3: Provide a definition of "training" with regard to training Canfor and BC Timber Sales staff are to receive as proposed in new indicator. Response – the training indicator will refer to safety and environmental training and will be discussed during PAG meeting 41. See handout to be discussed at PAG meeting #41.

**PAG Meeting #40 Action #4:** Report on what will be included in and the focus of a Participant Satisfaction survey. Also indicate the method to be used for data

compilation/analysis. Response -will be discussed during PAG meeting 41. A revised PAG survey is proposed. See handout to be discussed at PAG meeting # 41.